received). The standard was effective November 9, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 9, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Occupational Exposure to Asbestos; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 41057, dated August 10, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested September 14, 1994; hearing scheduled for October 6, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective November 9, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 9, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 43270, dated August 12, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested September 28, 1994; hearing scheduled for October 20, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective November 23, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 23, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Logging Operations; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 51741, dated October 12, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested November 9, 1994; hearing scheduled for December 1, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective February 1, 1995; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on February 1, 1995.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Safety Standards for Fall Protection in the Construction Industry; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 40729, dated August 9, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested September 14, 1994; hearing scheduled for October 6, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective November 9, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 9, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Occupational Exposure to Asbestos; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 41131, dated August 10, 1994). This standard, which is

contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested September 14, 1994; hearing scheduled for October 6, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective November 9, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 9, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 43275, dated August 22, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested September 28, 1994; hearing scheduled for October 20, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective November 23, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on November 23, 1994.

In the February 21, 1995 letter, the State also submitted State standards comparable to: Logging Operations; as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 51748, dated October 12, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1983), was promulgated after public comments requested November 9, 1994; hearing scheduled for December 1, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective February 1, 1995; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on February 1, 1995.

By letter dated November 8, 1994, from Walter H. Johnson, Deputy Labor Commissioner, to Alonzo L. Griffin, Area Director, and incorporated as part of the Plan, the State submitted State standards comparable to: Reporting of fatality or multiple hospitalization incidents; as published in the Federal Register (59 FR 15594, dated April 1, 1994). This standard, which is contained in Chapter 88 of the Code of Iowa (1993), was promulgated after public comments requested July 26, 1994; hearing scheduled for July 28, 1994; (no comments were received). The standard was effective October 26, 1994; and notice of its adoption was published by the State on October 26, 1994.

2. Decision. All of the above State submissions have been compared to federal standards and it has been determined that they are identical to the comparable federal standard and should therefore be approved. Having reviewed the State submission, in comparison with the Federal standards, it has been determined that the State standards, with the exception of 1904.8, are identical to the comparable Federal

standards and should therefore be approved.

OSHA has determined that the State standard for 347–4.8(88) is at least as effective as the comparable Federal standard, as required by section 18(c)(2) of the Act. OSHA has also determined that the differences between the State and Federal standards are minimal and that the standards are thus substantially identical. OSHA therefore approves this standard; however, the right to reconsider this approval is reserved should substantial objections be submitted to the Assistant Secretary.

- 3. Location of Supplement for Inspection and Copying. A copy of the standard supplement, along with the approved plan, may be inspected and copied during normal business hours at the following locations: Directorate of Federal/State Operations, Office of State Programs, Room N3700, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210; Office of the Regional Administrator, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 406 Federal Office Building, 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; and Division of Labor Services, 1000 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.
- 4. Public Participation. Under 29 CFR 1953.2(c) of this Chapter, the Assistant Secretary may prescribe alternative procedures to expedite the review process or for other good cause which may be consistent with applicable laws. The Assistant Secretary finds that good cause exists for not publishing the supplement to the Iowa State Plan as a proposed change and for making the Regional Administrator's approval effective upon publication for the following reasons:
- 1. The standards are identical to the comparable Federal standards, with the exception of 1904.8, and are therefore deemed to be at least as effective.
- 2. The standards were adopted in accordance with the procedural requirements of State law and further public participation and notice would be unnecessary.

This decision is effective August 4, 1995.

(Section 18, Public Law 91–596, 84 Stat. 1608 [29 U.S.C. 667])

Signed at Kansas City, Missouri, this 11th day of July, 1995.

Marcia Drumm,

Acting Regional Administrator.
[FR Doc. 95–19202 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; **General Wage Determination Decisions**

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective data as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the Federal **Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the

applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and selfexplanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, **Employment Standards Administration**, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S-3014, Washington, D.C. 20210.

Withdrawn General Wage **Determination Decisions**

This is to advise all interested parties that the Department of Labor is withdrawing, from the date of this notice, General Wage Determination Nos. OK950016, OK950018 and OK950024 dated February 10, 1994.

Agencies with construction projects pending, to which this wage decision would have been applicable, should utilize the project determination procedure by submitting an SF-308. Contracts for which bids have been opened shall not be affected by this notice. Also, consistent with 29 CFR 1.6(c)(2)(i)(A), when the opening of bids is less than ten (10) days from the date of this notice, this action shall be effective unless the agency finds that there is insufficient time to notify bidders of the change and the finding is documented in the contract file.

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the Federal Register are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

Volume I

New Jersey NJ950002 (Feb. 10, 1995) NJ950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) NJ950004 (Feb. 10, 1995) NJ950008 (Feb. 10, 1995) NJ950021 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Volume II

Pennsylvania
PA950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950006 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950008 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950009 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950010 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950012 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950015 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950019 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950021 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950023 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950028 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950029 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950040 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950054 (Feb. 10, 1995)
PA950060 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Volume III

Georgia

GA950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950022 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950032 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950040 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950050 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950065 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950073 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950084 (Jul. 14, 1995)

Volume IV

Illinois

IL950001 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950002 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950004 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950005 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950007 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950008 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950009 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950011 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950012 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950013 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950014 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950015 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950016 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950017 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950023 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950029 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950030 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950042 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950043 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950049 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950052 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950061 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950069 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950075 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950079 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950094 (Feb. 10, 1995) Minnesota

MN950058 (Feb. 10, 1995) Ohio OH950001 (Feb. 10, 1995) OH950002 (Feb. 10, 1995) OH950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) OH950012 (Feb. 10, 1995) OH950024 (Feb. 10, 1995)

OH950026 (Feb. 10, 1995)

```
OH950027 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  OH950028 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  OH950029 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  OH950034 (Feb. 10, 1995)
Volume V
Kansas
  KS950023 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  KS950035 (Feb. 10, 1995)
New Mexico
  NM950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  NM950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
Texas
  TX950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950010 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950015 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950018 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950019 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950033 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950034 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950037 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950051 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950053 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950055 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950059 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950060 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950061 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950063 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950069 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950081 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950093 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950096 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950100 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  TX950114 (Feb. 10, 1995)
Volume VI
Alaska
  AK950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950006 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950011 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950013 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950014 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950015 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950016 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  AZ950017 (Feb. 10, 1995)
Colorado
  CO950011 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  CO950025 (Feb. 10, 1995)
Idaho
  ID950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 ID950004 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 ID950013 (Jul. 28, 1995)
Washington
  WA950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  WA950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  WA950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  WA950025 (Feb. 10, 1995)
  WA950027 (Feb. 10, 1995)
```

General Wage Determination **Publication**

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts''. This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487–4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512–1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of July 1995.

Alan L. Moss,

Director, Division of Wage Determinations. [FR Doc. 95–18982 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446]

Texas Utilities Electric Company; Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF– 87 and NPF–89, issued to Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee), for operation of the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Units 1 and 2, located in Somervell County, Texas.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would consist of revisions to 10 CFR part 20 references to recognize the new section numbers, revise definitions to ensure

consistency with 10 CFR part 20, and change administrative controls for reporting and recordkeeping to maintain compliance with the new 10 CFR part 20. The changes would revise the limitations on concentrations of radioactive material released in liquid effluents and the limitations on the dose rate resulting from radioactive material released in gaseous effluents and reflect the relocation of the prior 10 CFR 20.106 requirements to the new 10 CFR 20.1302. These changes are in response to the licensee's application for amendment dated August 12, 1994, implementing the new 10 CFR part 20.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed in order to retain operational flexibility consistent with 10 CFR part 50, appendix I, concurrent with the implementation of the revised 10 CFR part 20.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action, in regard to the actual release rates as referenced in the Technical Specifications as a dose rate to the maximally exposed member of the public, and concludes that the change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.